





J.M. KOHLHEPP
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
 20W: ECON 106IL LAB 1B: ORGNZTN - FIRMS LAB
 No. of responses = 8
 Enrollment = 22
 Response Rate = 36.36%

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:




1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?




2. Preliminary Questions:

2.1) Approximately how many times did you attend the section?




2.2) You saw the teaching assistant attending lectures.




3. To What Extent Do You Feel That:


3.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.




3.2) Teaching Assistant Concern - The T.A. was concerned about student learning.









3.3) Organization - Section presentations were well prepared and organized.




3.4) Scope - The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.





4. Your View of Section Characteristics:



4.4)	Texts, required readings		n=5 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0.71 ab.=3
4.5)	Homework assignments		n=8 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0.76
4.6)	Graded materials, examinations		n=8 av.=1.75 md=2 dev.=0.71
4.7)	Lecture presentations		n=6 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0.63 ab.=1
4.8)	Class discussions		n=7 av.=2.57 md=3 dev.=0.53 ab.=1

5. Comments:


- 5.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.
- Jacob was very approachable. I learned more in his sections than I did in the lectures. The lectures were somewhat abstract and irrelevant, but Jacob's section really clarified important topics. He made going to class on a Friday worth it which is a hard thing to do!
 - Jake was instrumental to my understanding of the course material. I found it very difficult to focus during lecture because of how long-winded the professor was, but Jake's sections always clarified any questions I had about the content. His notes were often written better than the professor's own solutions to problems. Going to office hours was never intimidating either since Jake was always eager to go through as many problems as he possibly could. Jake is by far one of the better Econ TAs I've had while at UCLA. He was very organized and very eager to help and I was glad I had him this quarter.
 - One of my favorite TAs at UCLA- he was very engaging and thorough and did a great job at explaining the material.
 - Provided a very welcoming environment to encourage students to ask questions. No real weaknesses to be stated, great TA.
 - Strengths: cares about students and classroom discussion, did a good job reviewing materials professor went over in class
Weakness: not knowledgeable enough to answer some questions

Profile

Subunit: **ECON**
 Name of the instructor: **J.M. KOHLHEPP**
 Name of the course: **20W: ECON 106IL LAB 1B: ORGNZTN - FIRMS LAB**
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

3. To What Extent Do You Feel That:



4. Your View of Section Characteristics:

